

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/088,388 07/31/2002 Warrick Smith 5133 7590 09/17/2004 EXAMINER Clarke A Puntigam GLESSNER, BRIAN E Jensen & Puntigam ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Seattle, WA 98121-2584 3635							
7590 09/17/2004 EXAMINER Clarke A Puntigam Jensen & Puntigam 2033 6th Avenue Suite 1020 EXAMINER GLESSNER, BRIAN E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Clarke A Puntigam Jensen & Puntigam 2033 6th Avenue Suite 1020 GLESSNER, BRIAN E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		10/088,388	07	/31/2002	Warrick Smith		5133
Jensen & Puntigam 2033 6th Avenue Suite 1020 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		75	90	09/17/2004		EXAM	INER
2033 6th Avenue Suite 1020 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		Clarke A Punt	igam			GLESSNER	, BRIAN E
2033 6th Avenue Suite 1020 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		Jensen & Puntig	am				
Seattle, WA 98121-2584 3635						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						3635	

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,388	SMITH, WARRICK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E. Glessner	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replying 1 if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status	,					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u>	ulv 2002					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-29 and 33-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 30-32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
AMachine ant/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure does not contain headings to distinguish the various parts of the specification such as "Background of the Invention", "Summary of the Invention", "Brief Description of the Drawings", etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-29, 34, and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorton (4,947,616).

In regard to claim 25, Sorton discloses a magnetic holding device comprising an elongate member 14 including at least one magnet 46 and having a substantially flat magnetic surface on or in close proximity to the magnet, wherein the elongate member is capable of holding material between said magnetic surface and a magnetically receptive surface, at least one retaining member 12 is provided on the elongate member, the retaining member having a retaining surface extending from the elongate member at an angle to the substantially flat magnetic surface.

Art Unit: 3635

In regard to claims 26 and 27, Sorton discloses the claimed invention, wherein the retaining member is integrally attached to the elongate member.

In regard to claim 28, Sorton discloses the claimed invention, wherein the retaining member is adjustable having a lip part movable between an extended position in which the lip part presents a retaining surface extending outwardly from the elongate member generally perpendicularly to the substantially flat magnetic surface, and a retracted position. The examiner would like to point out that the retaining member could be rotated so that it's longitudinal axis is parallel with the longitudinal axis of the magnetic member. This could be the "retracted" position. The member could be rotated by loosening the nut on the member 32 enough to allow the members 28, 30 to come out of slot 34.

In regard to claim 29, Sorton discloses the claimed invention, wherein the elongate member comprises a housing containing at least one pair of magnets 46 at longitudinally spaced apart positions of the elongate member, figure 4.

Claims 34 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fawcett et al. (5,904,096).

In regard to claim 34, Fawcett discloses a magnetic holding device comprising an elongate housing member 2 containing at least one pair of magnets 9 at longitudinally spaced apart positions of the elongate housing member, and ferromagnetic material 10 provided between the pair of magnets within the housing. Since the material 10 is larger than the magnets, at least a portion of it is located between adjacent magnets.

Art Unit: 3635

In regard to claims 36-38, Fawcett discloses the claimed invention, wherein the at least one magnet is mounted on a surface in a recess of the elongate member, wherein the magnet forms substantially a flat magnetic surface of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorton (4,947,616) in view of Fawcett et al. (5,904,096).

In regard to claim 33, Sorton discloses the claimed invention except for specifically disclosing the use of ferromagnetic material between at least one pair of magnets. Fawcett teaches the use of ferromagnetic material 10 in combination with magnets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use ferromagnetic material in Sorton's invention, because said material will create a stronger magnetic bond between the magnets and the objects that are to be held.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Fawcett et al. (5,904,096).

In regard to claim 35, Fawcett discloses the claimed invention except for specifically disclosing that the elongate member is made of wood or plastic material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elongate member out of plastic, because plastic will be durable

Art Unit: 3635

and it is easy to mold into the desired shape. Plastic will also allow heat to be conducted if desired.

Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen (5,313,754).

In regard to claims 20-24, Jensen discloses a method of attaching a sheet material (figure 1) to a structure, said method comprising the steps of providing a magnetic holding device 10 comprising a housing 18 including at least one magnet 24 and having a substantially flat magnetic surface on or in close proximity to said magnet, placing a sheet material, figure 1, against at least one magnetically receptive surface 16 of the structure, placing said magnetic holding device with said flat magnetic surface against the sheet material to hold the sheet material in place between the magnetic surface and the magnetically receptive surface of the structure so that the sheet material can be secured to the structure. The holding device comprises an elongate member including a plurality of magnets located at spaced intervals along the elongate housing. The holding device also comprises at least one retaining member 20 having a part with a retaining surface extending from the housing at an angle to the substantially flat magnetic surface for engagement with a surface of one of the frame members extending at an angle to the magnetically receptive surface of the frame member. Jensen does not specifically disclose that a plurality of devices are used. It would have been obvious to use more than one device, because there is usually a plurality of corners in a structure, and each corner would have the magnetically receptive surfaces, which are comprised of ferromagnetic material. Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3635

to use a plurality of devices to hold the sheet material between said ferromagnetic material and the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okamoto, Leon, Jr., Schillero, Jr., Meyer, Bretches et al., Itagaki, Bush, Jr., Sorton and Thompson.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Glessner whose telephone number is 703-305-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Glessner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

B.G. September 16, 2004